

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/918,905	07/31/2001	Zazu Ciuca	077056-0348	2325	
7:	590 03/18/2003				
Scott E Baxendale Marshall Gerstein & Borun 233 S Wacker Drive Suite 6300 Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606-6357			EXAMINER		
			JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8/

Application No.

09/918,905

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Examiner
Blair M. Johnson

Art Unit **3634**

Ciuca



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 					
- Failure - Any re	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 2, 200</u>				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This action				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) 2-5, 8-13, and 21-23	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 6, 7, 14-20, and 24-30	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3)Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)			

Art Unit: 3623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 14,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kuhar '100.

See tensioning element in the form of slots 56, column 4, lines 51-54. The embodiment of Fig. 3 discloses plural means for actuating. On the other hand, "means for actuating" defines no specific structure and reads on any portion of the '100 device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhar '100. It would have been obvious to provide a tensioner for each cord, such being an obvious duplication of equivalent parts. Providing another tensioner would increase the tension control.
- 3. Claims 1,6,7,15-17 and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhar '100 in view of Carouso.

'100 discloses everything except the one-way tensioning system. '100 does provide a tensioning system in the form of slots 56, column 4, lines 51-54. Carouso discloses a tensioning member to prevent "creep" of the cords 17 back onto the spools

Art Unit: 3623

19,20. The tensioning pulley 25 is a one-way tensioner, as disclosed from page 1, line 99 to page 2, line 13. In view of this teaching by Carouso, it would have been obvious to modify Kuhar whereby his tensioning system is replaced with the more efficient tensioner of Carouso. Other motivation to combine these references as proposed would simply lie in the fact that the Carouso tensioner is an obvious expedient of the tensioner in Kuhar.

Regarding claim 6, it would have been obvious to provide a tensioner for each cord, such being an obvious duplication of equivalent parts. Providing another tensioner would increase the tension control.

Regarding claims 15-17, see means for supporting, 25,26,27,28, etc., means for engaging 28 and means for tensioning 25. See also first aperture in spool 25, which accommodates pin 26, and second aperture 33.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-5,8-13 and 21-23 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3623

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the 102 rejection under Kuhar, while the claims do recite "one direction" and '100 discloses a tensioner which tensions in "both directions", the scope of the latter encompasses the former. In other words, "one direction" is met by the '100 device which tensions in two directions since the "one" direction is one of the two directions of '100.

Applicant questions the appropriateness of combining '100 and Carouso. It is true that '100 provides a balanced system. However, he also provides a tensioner, which clearly indicates that the system is not truly balanced. Carouso discloses a tensioning system to prevent unwanted retraction of the cable elements. While Carouso is not found in the shade or blind art, it is appropriate to go to an art where the particular problem faced by Applicant is addressed, as quoted by Applicant on page 8. Clearly, Carouso addresses the "creep" problem and by does so by using tensioners as recited.

Regarding the charge of using hindsight, of course a certain amount of hindsight is required to even begin to search for the invention. The test rests in whether or not the prior art has been properly combined and motivation provided to do so. The Examiner sincerely feels that such a standard has been met.

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of

time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Blair M.//Johnson

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

March 14, 2003 Tel (703) 308-0526 Fax (703) 305-3597